

H

Supreme Court of New Jersey.
Nancy STRUBE, Plaintiff-Appellant,
v.

The TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY OF
ILLINOIS (T.I.L.) individually and d/b/a the Travel-
ers Companies, Webster M. Grimm and C.I.A.P.N.J.,
Defendants-Respondents.
Argued Oct. 23, 1995.
Decided Dec. 6, 1995.

For affirmance-Chief Justice WILENTZ, and Justices
HANDLER, POLLOCK, O'HERN and GARIBAL-
DI-5.

For reversal-Justices STEIN and COLEMAN-2.
N.J.,1995.

Strube v. Travelers Indem. Co. of Illinois
142 N.J. 570, 667 A.2d 188

END OF DOCUMENT

On appeal from the Superior Court, Appellate Divi-
sion, whose opinion is reported at 277 *N.J.Super.* 236,
649 A.2d 624 (1994).

Craig J. Hubert, Trenton, for appellant (Brotman &
Graziano, attorneys; Mr. Hubert and Dennis S. Brot-
man, of counsel and on the brief).

Michele M. Fox, Cherry Hill, for respondent The
Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois, etc. (Ken-
ney & Kearney, attorneys; Ms. Fox, Cherry Hill, and
Ralph R. Smith, 3rd, Westmont, on the brief).

C. Edward Speidel, Somerville, for respondent Web-
ster H. Grimm, improperly pleaded as Webster M.
Grimm (Golden, Rothschild, Spagnola & DiFazio,
attorneys).

Marc L. Dembling, Morristown, for respondent
C.I.A.P.N.J. (Berlin, Kaplan, Dembling & Burke,
attorneys).

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is affirmed, substantially for the reasons
expressed in Judge Baime's opinion of the Appellate
Division, reported at 277 *N.J.Super.* 236, 649 A.2d
624 (1994).

STEIN and COLEMAN, Justices, dissenting.

We would reverse, substantially for the reasons ex-
pressed in Judge Kestin's dissenting opinion, reported
at 277 *N.J.Super.* 236, 649 A.2d 624 (1994).